arXiv:1512.02946v1 [nlin.SI] 9 Dec 2015

Tensor fields defined by Lax representations

Alexander Vladimirovich Balandin
Department of Algebra, Geometry and Discrete Mathematics
N.I.Lobatchevsky Nizhny Novgorod State University
23 Gagarin ave., 603950 Nizhny Novgorod, Russia
e-mail: balandin@mm.unn.ru

Abstract

In this paper, some properties of tensor fields constructed by the Lax representation of chiral-type systems are investigated.

MSC(2010): 35Q51,37K10,37K05
Key words: chiral-type systems, Lax representation, conservation laws, characteristics of conservation laws, cosymmetries, Killing fields.

1 Introduction

Chiral-type systems (see, for example, [4]) are the systems of partial differential equations of the form

$$\Delta^\alpha \equiv U^\alpha_{xy} + G^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} U^\beta_x U^\gamma_y + Q^\alpha = 0. \tag{1}$$

Here and further, the Greek indices range from 1 to n and the subscripts denote partial derivatives with respect to the independent variables x and y. The coefficients $G^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}, Q^\alpha$ are assumed to be smooth functions of the variables $U^1, U^2, ..., U^n$. The summation rule over the repeated indices is also assumed.

Further on, the covariant derivatives w.r.t. the connection defined by the coefficients $G^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}$ are denoted by ∇_δ.

The Euler-Lagrange equations of the form (1) are called a nonlinear generalized sigma model.

Following [7], recall that the characteristic of the conservation law $L = (L_1, L_2)$ of the system (1) is a set of functions $R = \{R_\alpha\}$ such that

$$Div\ L = D_x L_1 + D_y L_2 = R_\alpha \Delta^\alpha,$$

where Δ^α denotes the l.h.s. of Eq. (1).

Characteristics of the conservation laws are also referred to as cosymmetries.

We understand integrable systems as the systems admitting a Lax representation.

In the sequel, we assume that the system (1) admits the matrix $\mathfrak{g}$-valued Lax representation of the form:

$$D_y\widetilde{A} - D_x\widetilde{B} + [\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}] = S_\alpha \Delta^\alpha, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\widetilde{A} = A_\alpha U_x^\alpha + \lambda M, \quad \widetilde{B} = B_\alpha U_y^\alpha + \frac{1}{\lambda} N, \tag{3}$$

$$S_\alpha = A_\alpha - B_\alpha, \tag{4}$$

A, B, M, N are smooth functions of the variables $U^1, U^2, ..., U^n$, taking values in a matrix Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

It is easy to see, collecting the terms by $\lambda, \frac{1}{\lambda}$, that M, N, A_α, B_α satisfy the following conditions

$$M_{,\alpha} = [B_\alpha, M], \tag{5}$$

$$N_{,\alpha} = [A_\alpha, N]. \tag{6}$$

$$Q^\alpha S_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}[M, N], \tag{7}$$

$$A_{\alpha,\beta} - B_{\beta,\alpha} + [A_\alpha, B_\beta] - S_\gamma G^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \tag{8}$$

Here and further, comma denotes the partial derivatives, that is, $P_{,\alpha} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial U^\alpha}$.

The set of such functions S_α is referred to as a characteristic element of the Lax representation [6]. In the sequel, it is assumed that $S_1, S_2, ..., S_n$ are linear independent.

Remark 1 Note that the functions M, N, and the characteristic element S_α of the Lax representation (2,3,4) are transformed under a gauge transformation by the formulas

$$M \to T^{-1}MT, \ N \to T^{-1}NT, \ S_\alpha \to T^{-1}S_\alpha T.$$

Thus, the functions of the form $f(S_{\alpha_1}, S_{\alpha_2}, ...S_{\alpha_p}, \underbrace{M, M, ..., M}_{r}, \underbrace{N, N, ..., N}_{s})$ are well defined tensor fields for an arbitrary ad-invariant symmetric $(p+r+$

s)-form on $\mathfrak{g}$. It turns out that these tensor fields carry important information about the system under consideration.

It was mentioned in [1] that for an arbitrary ad-invariant symmetric $(k+p)$-form on $\mathfrak{g}$, tensor fields of the form

$$F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} = f(S_{\alpha_1}, S_{\alpha_2}, \ldots S_{\alpha_k}, \underbrace{M, M, \ldots, M}_{p}),\ (k>0,\ p\geq 0)$$

are Killing fields, that is

$$\nabla_{(\beta} F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k)} = 0.$$

Here the proof of it is given (Proposition 1).

The important case $k=1$ of such tensors was considered in [2]. It turns out that the sets F_α defined by the expressions

$$F_\alpha = f(S_\alpha, M, M, \ldots M) \tag{9}$$

are characteristics of the zero order of conservation laws (cosymmetries of the zero order) of the system (1).

In this paper, in a similar way, we investigate the meaning of the tensors

$$K_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} = f([S_{\alpha_1}, M], [S_{\alpha_2}, M], \ldots, [S_{\alpha_k}, M], M, \ldots, M).$$

It is shown that covariant derivatives of these tensors vanish (Theorem 1). According to well known result, these tensors for $k=2$ define conservation laws $K_{\alpha\beta}U^\alpha_x U^\beta_x dx$ for the system

$$\Delta^\alpha \equiv U^\alpha_{xy} + G^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} U^\beta_x U^\gamma_y = 0, \tag{10}$$

that is, the sets $K_{\alpha\beta}U^\alpha_x$ form the cosymmetries of the first order for system (10).

Note that the sets $K_{\alpha\beta}U^\alpha_x$ do not form the cosymmetries for the general case of system (1) with non vanishing Q^α (Example 1).

However, it is valid for 3-dimensional Lie algebras. This result was announced in [2]. Here the full proof of it is given (Theorem 2).

Remark 2 It is obvious that the results obtained from the above mentioned ones are valid also if we change $x \leftrightarrow y,\ M \leftrightarrow N,\ G^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} \leftrightarrow G^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} - 2G^\alpha_{[\beta\gamma]}$.

2 Main theorems

Proposition 1 *Let the system* (1) *admit the matrix* $\mathfrak{g}$*-valued Lax representation of the form (3) and* f *be a symmetric ad-invariant p-form* f *on Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$*. Then the tensor field*

$$F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} = f(S_{\alpha_1}, S_{\alpha_2}, \ldots S_{\alpha_k}, \underbrace{M, M, \ldots, M}_{p}),\ (k > 0,\ p \geq 0) \tag{11}$$

satisfy the condition

$$\nabla_{(\beta} F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k)} = 0, \tag{12}$$

that is, $F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}$ *is a Killing field.*

Proof.

Rewrite Eq.(8) in the form

$$\nabla_\beta S_\alpha = S_{\alpha,\beta} - S_\gamma G^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = [B_\beta, S_\alpha] + D_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{13}$$

where

$$D_{\alpha\beta} = [B_\beta, B_\alpha] + 2B_{[\beta,\alpha]}. \tag{14}$$

Then, one can obtain

$$\nabla_\beta F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} = f(\nabla_\beta S_{\alpha_1}, S_{\alpha_2}, \ldots S_{\alpha_k}, \underbrace{M, M, \ldots, M}_{p})$$

$$+ f(S_{\alpha_1}, \nabla_\beta S_{\alpha_2}, \ldots S_{\alpha_k}, \underbrace{M, M, \ldots, M}_{p})$$

$$+ \ldots + f(S_{\alpha_1}, S_{\alpha_2}, \ldots, \nabla_\beta S_{\alpha_k}, \underbrace{M, M, \ldots, M}_{p}) + p f(S_{\alpha_1}, S_{\alpha_2}, \ldots S_{\alpha_k}, \underbrace{M_{,\beta}, M, \ldots, M}_{p}).$$

Using Ad-invariancy of form f and Eq.(5), Eq.(13), one can see that

$$\nabla_\beta F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} = f(D_{\alpha_1\beta}, S_{\alpha_2}, \ldots S_{\alpha_k}, \underbrace{M, M, \ldots, M}_{p}) \tag{15}$$

$$+ f(S_{\alpha_1}, D_{\alpha_2\beta}, \ldots S_{\alpha_k}, \underbrace{M, M, \ldots, M}_{p})$$

$$f(S_{\alpha_1}, S_{\alpha_2}, \ldots D_{\alpha_k\beta}, \underbrace{M, M, \ldots, M}_{p}).$$

Symmetrizing Eq.(15) and taking into account that $D_{(\alpha\beta)} = 0$, we finish the proof.

Denote the $\alpha-$th Euler operator by

$$E_\alpha = \sum_J (-D)_J (\frac{\partial}{\partial U^\alpha_J}),$$

where the sum extending over all multi-indices $J = (j_1, j_2)$.

To proceed we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1 *Let R be a function of the form:*

$$R = K_{\alpha\beta} U^\alpha_{xy} U^\beta_x + L_{\alpha\beta\gamma} U^\alpha_x U^\beta_x U^\gamma_y + W_\alpha U^\alpha_x, \tag{16}$$

where $K_{\alpha\beta}, L_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, W_\alpha$ are functions of $U^1, U^2, ..., U^n$.

Then, the equations

$$E_\mu(R) = 0$$

are equivalent to the following conditions:

$$K_{[\alpha\mu]} = 0, \tag{17}$$

$$K_{\mu\alpha,\beta} - 2L_{(\mu\alpha)\beta} = 0, \tag{18}$$

$$W_{[\alpha,\mu]} = 0. \tag{19}$$

Here and further, the comma denotes the partial derivatives, that is, $P_{,\alpha} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial U^\alpha}$.

Proof.

The proof is obtained by direct computation. Collecting the terms by $U^\alpha_{xxy}, U^\alpha_{xy} U^\beta_y, U^\alpha_x U^\beta_x U^\gamma_y, U^\alpha_x$ in the expression $E_\mu(R)$ and taking into account the condition $E_\mu(R) = 0$, we obtain Eq.(17),(18), the equation $K_{\alpha\beta,[\mu,\gamma]} = 0$ (which is an identity), and Eq.(19).

Remark 3 Assuming that

$$L_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = K_{\alpha\mu} G^\mu_{\beta\gamma},\ W_\alpha = K_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta. \tag{20}$$

Then one can easily verify that Eq. (19) can be rewritten in the form

$$(Q^\alpha K_{\alpha\beta})_{,\gamma} - (Q^\alpha K_{\alpha\gamma})_{,\beta} = 0, \tag{21}$$

and Eq. (17), (18) are equivalent to the condition

$$\nabla_\gamma K_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \tag{22}$$

Theorem 1 *Let the system* (1) *admit the matrix* $\mathfrak{g}$*-valued Lax representation of the form (3) and* f *be a symmetric ad-invariant p-form* f *on Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$.

Then the tensor field

$$K_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} = f([S_{\alpha_1}, M], [S_{\alpha_2}, M], ..., [S_{\alpha_k}, M], \underbrace{M, ..., M}_{p-k}) \tag{23}$$

satisfies the condition

$$\nabla_\gamma K_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} = 0. \tag{24}$$

We will give the proof of the theorem in Appendix.

Corollary 1 *Let the system* (10) *admit the matrix* $\mathfrak{g}$*-valued Lax representation of the form (3). Then for every symmetric ad-invariant p-form* f *on Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ *the sets*

$$Y_\alpha = f([S_\alpha, M], [S_\beta, M], \underbrace{M, M, ..., M}_{p-2})U_x^\beta$$

form cosymmetriies of the first order of the system under consideration.

The proof follows from the well known result that $K_{\alpha\beta}U_x^\alpha U_x^\beta dx$ is an integral of system (10) iff $\nabla_\gamma K_{\alpha\beta} = 0$.

Corollary 2 *Let n-component system* (10) *admit* $\mathfrak{g}$*-valued Lax representation of the form (3), where* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is compact algebra Lie of rank* l *and* $l > n$. *Assume that* M *is a regular element of Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ *in a point* P_0.

Then the covariant constant tensor field $K_{\alpha\beta}$ *defined by*

$$K_{\alpha\beta} = f([S_\alpha, M], [S_\beta, M]), \tag{25}$$

where f *is a Killing form on* $\mathfrak{g}$, *does not vanish at point* P_0.

Proof. One can obtain the proof taking into account the linear independence of S_α, the compactness of $\mathfrak{g}$, and reasons of the dimensionality.

Remark 4. It is well known that the Euler-Lagrange system for Lagrangian

$$L = g_{\alpha\beta}(U^\gamma)U^\alpha_x U^\beta_y + Q(U^\gamma),$$

where $g_{[\alpha\beta]} = 0, det||g_{\alpha\beta}|| \neq 0$, admits the integrals $g_{\alpha\beta}U^\alpha_x U^\beta_x dx,\ g_{\alpha\beta}U^\alpha_y U^\beta_y dy$. Thus, if tensor field $f([S_\alpha, M], [S_\beta, M], \underbrace{M, M, ..., M}_{p-2})$ is up to a constant proportional to the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ determined by Lagrangian L, then this tensor field forms a cosymmetry. Note that no examples of such systems admitting the Lax representation with dim $\mathfrak{g} > 3$ are known to the author.

Remark 5.

Corollary 1 could not be generalized to the case of the chiral-type systems (1) with non vanishing Q^α. This illustrates the following example.

Example 1. Consider the 3-component variational system

$$\Delta^1 = U^1_{xy} - aU^2e^{2U^1} + bU^3e^{-2U^1} = 0,$$
$$\Delta^2 = U^2_{xy} - b\psi^{-1}e^{-2U^1} - \psi U^3U^2_xU^2_y = 0,$$
$$\Delta^3 = U^3_{xy} - a\psi^{-1}e^{2U^1} - \psi U^2U^3_xU^3_y = 0,$$

where $\psi = (U^2U^3 + c)^{-1}$ and a, b, c are arbitrary constants, and $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$.

This system is the Euler system for Lagrangian

$$L = 2U^1_xU^1_y + \psi(U^2_xU^3_y + U^3_xU^2_y) + 2aU^2e^{2U^1} + 2bU^3e^{-2U^1} \tag{26}$$

and admits the Lax representation which takes values in $sl(3)$, where [4]:

$$\widetilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{U^2_xU^3}{3(U^2U^3+c)} & -bc^{-1}U^3e^{-2U^1} & 0 \\ \lambda aU^2e^{2U^1} & -\frac{U^2_xU^3}{3(U^2U^3+c)} & \lambda ae^{2U^1} \\ 0 & bc^{-1}e^{-2U^1}(U^2U^3+c) & \frac{2U^2_xU^3}{3(U^2U^3+c)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\widetilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3}(2U^1_y + \frac{U^2U^3_y}{U^2U^3+c}) & \lambda^{-1} & -\frac{U^3_y}{U^2U^3+c} \\ -c & \frac{1}{3}(4U^1_y - \frac{U^2U^3_y}{U^2U^3+c}) & 0 \\ -U^2_y & 0 & -\frac{1}{3}(2U^1_y - \frac{2U^2U^3_y}{U^2U^3+c}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This Lax representation is not of the form (3). In order to construct tensor $K_{\alpha\beta}$ using Eq.(25), we change the Lax representation to the form:

$$\widetilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{U_x^2U^3}{3(U^2U^3+c)} & -bc^{-1}U^3e^{-2U^1}\lambda & 0 \\ \lambda aU^2e^{2U^1} & -\frac{U_x^2U^3}{3(U^2U^3+c)} & \lambda ae^{2U^1} \\ 0 & bc^{-1}e^{-2U^1}(U^2U^3+c)\lambda & \frac{2U_x^2U^3}{3(U^2U^3+c)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\widetilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3}(2U_y^1 + \frac{U^2U_y^3}{U^2U^3+c}) & \lambda^{-1} & -\frac{U_y^3}{U^2U^3+c} \\ -c\lambda^{-1} & \frac{1}{3}(4U_y^1 - \frac{U^2U_y^3}{U^2U^3+c}) & 0 \\ -U_y^2 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3}(2U_y^1 - \frac{2U^2U_y^3}{U^2U^3+c}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It means that this representation admits two spectral parameters.

Choosing $f(x,y)$ as Killing form $tr(xy)$, one can find by direct calculation

$$K = -ab \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{U^2U^3+c} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{U^2U^3+c} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{27}$$

Analogously one can obtain by direct calculations:

$$\widetilde{K} = f([S_\alpha, N], [S_\beta, N]) = c \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{U^2U^3+c} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{U^2U^3+c} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Consider the case $a = b = 0$. Then, one can easily see that $\widetilde{K}_{\alpha\beta}U_y^\alpha$ is the characteristic of the conservation law $c[4(U_y^1)^2 + \frac{U_y^2U_y^3}{U^2U^3+c}]dy$, in accordance with corollary 1.

Note that in general case $a \neq 0$ or $b \neq 0$ matrices $K, \widetilde{K}$ are not proportional up to a constant to the metric defined by Lagrangian (26), and one can verify that the sets $\widetilde{K}_{\alpha\beta}U_x^\beta$, $K_{\alpha\beta}U_x^\beta$ do not form a cosymmetry of the system under consideration.

It interesting to note that the linear combination $cK + 3ab\widetilde{K}$ is proportional to the metric and define a cosymmetry.

Theorem 2 *Let the system* (1) *admit the Lax representation of the form (3) valued in a 3-dimensional Lie algebra. Then for every symmetric ad-invariant p-form f on Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ the set*

$$Y_\alpha = f([S_\alpha, M], [S_\beta, M], \underbrace{M, M, ..., M}_{p-2})U_x^\beta, \tag{28}$$

forms cosymmetry of the first order of the system under consideration (21).

Proof. The proof for the two cases of $so(3)$ and $sl(2)$ is the same due to the well known isomorphism over $\mathbb{C}$ of these Lie algebras. The only statement we need to prove is the condition (21). Denote $Z_\beta = Q^\alpha K_{\alpha\beta}$. Then, using Eq. (7), we have the following equalities $Z_\beta = f([Q^\alpha S_\alpha, M], [S_\beta, M], \underbrace{M, M, ..., M}_{p-2})$

$= \frac{1}{2} f([[M, N], M], [S_\beta, M], \underbrace{M, M, ..., M}_{p-2})$

$= -\frac{1}{2} f(M(M, N), [S_\beta, M], \underbrace{M, M, ..., M}_{p-2}) + \frac{1}{2} f(N(M, M), [S_\beta, M], \underbrace{M, M, ..., M}_{p-2}),$

where the round brackets denote the scalar product w.r.t. the Killing metric on $\mathfrak{g}$. Now, one can see that the first summand vanish due to Ad-invariance of the form f. Thus, we obtain

$$Z_\beta = \frac{1}{2}(M, M) f(N, [S_\beta, M], \underbrace{M, M, ..., M}_{p-2}) = \tag{29}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(M, M) f([N, M], S_\beta, \underbrace{M, M, ..., M}_{p-2}).$$

It turns out that the last equation can be integrated. At first, note that from condition (5) it follows that $(M, M) = const$. Denote by H the function $H = f(N, \underbrace{M, M, ..., M}_{p-1})$. Now, one can verify, using Eq.(5) and (6), the following identity: $H_{,\alpha} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial U^\alpha} = p f([N, M], S_\alpha, \underbrace{M, M, ..., M}_{p-2})$. Then, we arrive at $Z_\beta = -\frac{1}{2p}(M, M) H_{,\beta}$ and the proof is finished.

Example 3. Pohlmeier-Lund-Regge system [5],

$$\Delta^1 = U^1_{xy} + \frac{1}{\sin U^2}(U^1_x U^2_y + U^1_y U^2_x) = 0,$$

$$\Delta^2 = U^2_{xy} - \frac{\sin U^2}{(1+\cos U^2)^2} U^1_x U^1_y - p \sin U^2 = 0,$$

where p is an arbitrary constant. It will be convenient to write the Lax representation of PLR system in form (2),(3), where

$$\widetilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda p - \frac{\cos U^2 U^1_x}{2\cos^2 \frac{U^2}{2}} & -tg\frac{U^2}{2} U^1_x \\ -(p\lambda - \frac{\cos U^2 U^1_x}{2\cos^2 \frac{U^2}{2}}) & 0 & U^2_x \\ tg\frac{U^2}{2} U^1_x & -U^2_x & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\widetilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(\frac{\cos U^2}{\lambda} + \frac{U^1_y}{2\cos^2 \frac{U^2}{2}}) & -\frac{\sin U^2}{\lambda} \\ \frac{\cos U^2}{\lambda} + \frac{U^1_y}{2\cos^2 \frac{U^2}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{\sin U^2}{\lambda} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Choose the following basis B of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3)$

$$\overrightarrow{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \overrightarrow{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \overrightarrow{e}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

then we have

$$D_y\widetilde{A} - D_x\widetilde{B} + [\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}] = S_\alpha \Delta^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & tg^2\frac{U^2}{2} & -tg\frac{U^2}{2} \\ -tg^2\frac{U^2}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ tg\frac{U^2}{2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Delta^1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Delta^2.$$

Thus, w. r. t. the basis B,

$$S_1 = (0, tg\frac{U^2}{2}, tg^2\frac{U^2}{2}),\ S_2 = (1,0,0),$$

$$M = (0,0,p),\ N = (0, \sin U^2, -\cos U^2).$$

Assume that the 2-form f is the Killing metric of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ which, w. r. t. the basis B, is δ_{ij} up to a constant factor.

Construct a characteristic of the first order, using expressions (28). Assuming that f is the Killing form, we obtain

$$K = f([S_\alpha, M], [S_\beta, M]) = p^2 \begin{pmatrix} \tan^2 \frac{U^2}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\widetilde{K}_{\alpha_\beta} = f([S_\alpha, N], [S_\beta, N]) = \begin{pmatrix} \tan^2 \frac{U^2}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$Y_1 = p^2 U_x^1 \tan^2 \frac{U^2}{2},\ Y_2 = p^2 U_x^2,\ \widetilde{Y}_1 = U_y^1 \tan^2 \frac{U^2}{2},\ \widetilde{Y}_2 = U_y^2.$$

One can verify that Y and $\widetilde{Y}$ are the characteristics of the following conservation laws: $p^2[(U_x^1)^2 \tan^2 \frac{U^2}{2} + (U_x^2)^2]dx - 2p^2 \cos U^2 dy$ and $[(U_x^1)^2 \tan^2 \frac{U^2}{2} + (U_y^2)^2]dy - 2\cos U^2 dx$, respectively.

Example 4. Consider the 3-component system

$$U_{xy}^1 + U_x^3 U_y^1 ctg U^3 - \frac{1}{\sin U^3} U_y^3 U_x^2 + = 0,$$

$$U_{xy}^2 + U_y^3 U_x^2 ctg U^3 - \frac{1}{\sin U^3} U_x^3 U_y^1 = 0,$$

$$U_{xy}^3 + U_y^1 U_x^2 \sin U^3 - p \sin U^3 = 0,$$

where p is an arbitrary constant. This system admits the Lax representation of the form (2),(3), where [3],[2]:

$$\widetilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\lambda M^3 & -i\lambda M^2 \\ -\lambda M^3 & 0 & i\lambda M^1 \\ i\lambda M^2 & -i\lambda M^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\widetilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{i}{\lambda} - (\cos U^3 U_y^1 + U_y^2) & -b_{31} \\ -\frac{i}{\lambda} + (\cos U^3 U_y^1 + U_y^2) & 0 & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & -b_{23} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^1 = p \sin U^3 \sin U^2,\ M^2 = -p \sin U^3 \cos U^2,\ M^3 = p \cos U^3,$$

$$b_{31} = \sin U^3 \cos U^2 U_y^1 - \sin U^2 U_y^3,\ \ b_{23} = -\cos U^2 U_y^3 - \sin U^2 \sin U^3 U_y^1.$$

Consider the same basis B and the same 2-form f as in example 1. Then, we find $S_1 = (\sin U^2 \sin U^3, -\cos U^2 \sin U^3, \cos U^3)$, $S_2 = (0, 0, 1)$, $S_3 = (\cos U^2, \sin U^2, 0)$.

Again, using (28) and assuming f to be the Killing form, one can find

$$K_{\alpha\beta} = f([S_\alpha, M], [S_\beta, M]) = p^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin^2 U^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\widetilde{K}_{\alpha\beta} = f([S_\alpha, N], [S_\beta, N]) = -\begin{pmatrix} \sin^2 U^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, according to the Theorem 2, the set $Y_\alpha = p^2(0, \sin^2 U^3 U^2_x, U^3_x)$ is a characteristic of the conservation law. Indeed, the corresponding conservation law is

$$\theta = -p^3 \cos U^3 dy + \frac{p^2}{2} dx[(U^3_x)^2 + (U^2_x \sin U^3)^2].$$

Analogously, one can see that $\widetilde{Y}_\alpha = -(\sin^2 U^3 U^1_y, 0, U^3_y)$ and corresponding conservation law is of the form

$$\widetilde{\theta} = p \cos U^3 dx - \frac{dy}{2}[(U^3_y)^2 + (U^1_y \sin U^3)^2].$$

3 Conclusion

In this paper, the geometric meaning of some tensor fields constructed by the Lax representation of chiral-type systems is shown. The formula for cosymmetries of the first order for the chiral-type systems admitting $\mathfrak{g}$-valued Lax representation ($dim\ \mathfrak{g} = 3$) has been proved.

It seems interesting to find similar formulas for cosymmetries of higher orders.

4 Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to E.V. Ferapontov, M.I. Kuznetsov, and Y.V. Tuzov for useful discussions. This work was supported by the project 1410 of Russian Ministry of Education and Science.

5 Appendix

Here, we prove theorem 1.

According to Eq. (5),(13) rewrite $\nabla_\gamma K_{\alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_k}$ by the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_\gamma K_{\alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_k} &= f([\nabla_\gamma S_{\alpha_1}, M], [S_{\alpha_2}, M], ..., [S_{\alpha_k}, M], \underbrace{M, ..., M}_{p-k}) \\
&+ f([S_{\alpha_1}, M_{,\gamma}], [\nabla_\gamma S_{\alpha_2}, M], ..., [S_{\alpha_k}, M], \underbrace{M, ..., M}_{p-k}) + ... \\
&+ f([S_{\alpha_1}, M], [S_{\alpha_2}, M], ..., [S_{\alpha_k}, M_{,\gamma}], \underbrace{M, ..., M}_{p-k}) \\
&+ (p-k) f([S_{\alpha_1}, M], [S_{\alpha_2}, M], ..., [S_{\alpha_k}, M], M_{,\gamma}, \underbrace{M, M, ..., M}_{p-k-1}) \\
&= f([[B_\gamma, S_{\alpha_1}], M], [S_{\alpha_2}, M], ..., [S_{\alpha_k}, M], \underbrace{M, ..., M}_{p-k}) \\
&\underline{+ f([D_{\alpha_1\gamma}, M], [S_{\alpha_2}, M], ..., [S_{\alpha_k}, M], \underbrace{M, ..., M}_{p-k})} \\
&+ f([S_{\alpha_1}, [B_\gamma, M]], [S_{\alpha_2}, M], ..., [S_{\alpha_k}, M], \underbrace{M, ..., M}_{p-k}) \\
&\underline{+ f([S_{\alpha_1}, M], [[B_\gamma, S_{\alpha_2}], M], ..., [S_{\alpha_k}, M], \underbrace{M, ..., M}_{p-k})} \\
&\underline{+ f([S_{\alpha_1}, M], [D_{\alpha_2\gamma}, M], ..., [S_{\alpha_k}, M], \underbrace{M, ..., M}_{p-k})} \\
&+ f([S_{\alpha_1}, M], [S_{\alpha_2}, [B_\gamma, M]], ..., [S_{\alpha_k}, M], \underbrace{M, ..., M}_{p-k}) \\
&\underline{+ ... + (p-k) f([S_{\alpha_1}, M], [S_{\alpha_2}, M], ..., [S_{\alpha_k}, M], [B_{,\gamma}, M] \underbrace{M, ..., M}_{p-k-1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, one can see that the underlined terms vanish due to Ad-invariancy of the form f.

Next, one can obtain from Eq.(5) the following identity

$$[2B_{[\alpha,\gamma]}, M] = -[[B_\alpha, B_\gamma], M]. \tag{30}$$

Taking into account Eq.(14), one can find that $[D_{\alpha\beta}, M] = 0$. The proof is complete.

References

[1] Balandin A.V. Conservation Laws of Chiral-Type Systems, Vestnik Nizhegorodskogo Universiteta 6(1), 153–156 (2013) (in Russian)

[2] Balandin A.V. Characteristics of Conservation Laws of Chiral-Type Systems, Letters in Mathematical Physics 105, 27–43 (2015) (arXiv:1310.5218)

[3] Balandin A.V. Pakhareva O.N., Potemin G.V.: Lax representation of the chiral-type field equations, Phys. Lett. A. 23 168 -176 (2001).

[4] Demskoi D.K., Marikhin V.G., Meshkov A.G.: Lax representations for triplets of two-dimensional scalar fields of the chiral type, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics. 148 1034-1048 (2006)

[5] Lund F., Regge T.: Unified approach to strings and vortices with soliton solutions, Phys.Rew. D. 14 1524–1535 (1976)

[6] Marvan M.: On zero-curvature representations of partial differential equations. in: Kowalski O., Krupka D. (eds.) 5th International Conference on Differential Geometry and Its Applications. pp. 103-122, Opava, Czech Republic (1993), (http://www.emis.de/proceedings/5ICDGA).

[7] Olver P.: Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations, 2nd ed. Springer, New York (1993)